

REVIVING THE PROPAGANDA STATE

How the Kremlin hijacked history to survive

Maria Snegovaya January 2018

Reviving the Propaganda State

How the Kremlin hijacked history to survive

January 2018

Maria Snegovaya



Center *for* European Policy Analysis

The Issue

estern observers sometimes blame decisions by their own governments (such as NATO's expansion or the deployment of missile defenses in Eastern Europe) for the worsening of U.S.-Russia relations in recent years. Yet a closer examination of the record shows that the Kremlin's view of the West has long been hostile. Moreover, its conviction of the need to strengthen Russia's role on the international stage has remained relatively unchanged since the early days of President Vladimir Putin's rule. Underpinning those views has been a continuity of basic historical themes, which have become more pronounced over time. These include Russian national greatness and its "glorious victory" over fascism in World War II. The Kremlin has injected these narratives into a reimagined national consciousness to support its policies both at home and abroad.1

1



The myth of the predatory West

Owing to his KGB background and dismay at the dissolution of the Soviet Union, among other factors, Putin has never been particularly fond of the United States, the democracies of Europe, or multilateral Western institutions such as NATO and the European Union.² In particular, he blames the West for the downfall of the USSR and exploiting Russian weakness in the 1990s. While still working in St. Petersburg during that period, according to some accounts, Putin sometimes used tough anti-Western rhetoric reminiscent of his later 2007 "Munich speech" in meetings with Western representatives.³ Echoes of such suspicion can be found in Putin's earliest public interviews, where he spoke about the need to restore Russia's geopolitical might and establish a "multipolar world"—the latter a euphemism for reducing global U.S. influence.⁴

Putin's belief in the importance of history became become apparent as early as 2001, when the entire government was convened to analyze the content of textbooks and teacher's books on contemporary Russian history. The government directed that the "many negative descriptions that appeared in textbooks in the 1990s" be replaced by a vision of Russian history that promotes the strengthening of "patriotism, citizenship, national self-consciousness, and historical optimism."⁵ Several national educational programs were adopted as result in subsequent years.⁶

Moreover, Putin believed Russia needed a pro-regime version of history. That is because he was convinced the United States was behind the color revolutions in Georgia in 2003 and Ukraine the following year, as well as the 2005 revolution in Kyrgyzstan, according to Gleb Pavlowsky, who served as an adviser to the Presidential Administration during this time. (Pavlowsky took an active part in elaborating the new approach). Putin was concerned those events could lead to instability inside Russia that would threaten his own rule. Kremlin alarm was further heightened by the remarks of U.S. President George W. Bush, who predicted more such revolutions in countries like Belarus and

66The new concept also made the **correct use of Russian history** a matter of vital national interest."



supported U.S. initiatives in support of more popular movements against authoritarian regimes elsewhere.⁷

The color revolutions convinced Kremlin technologists that the regime lacked a strategy for youth mobilization, indoctrination or other symbols to counter similar trends in Russia. As result, Putin's chief political strategist, Vladislav Surkov, undertook an initiative to develop the political education program of Russia's youth and the elites which also contained antirevolutionary elements targeted against external enemies such as the United States or a worldwide conspiracy against Russia.⁸ The new campaign emphasized the concept of Russia as a "sovereign democracy," but was also designed to foster popular anti-Western sentiment through an increase in state propaganda, the creation of a youth movement ("Nashi") and repression of NGOs and human rights activists. The new concept also made the correct use of Russian history a matter of vital national interest. By 2005, the standardization of education had become one of the four national projects overseen by Dmitry Medvedev, a key Putin ally and later Russian president. A National Security Strategy, developed later, warned against "attempts to revise the history of Russia, her role and place in world history..." which could negatively influence the country's national security.9 Culture Minister Vladimir Medinsky named Russia's national interests the main "standard of the truth and reliability of historical work."10,11,12 The new concept was

aimed at instilling pride among the younger generation and fostering patriotism.^{13,14} Common themes included the role of Eastern Orthodoxy in unifying the Russian people, and the vision of Russia as a "besieged fortress" historically under attack by the West. Pavlowsky describes Putin's historical vision as "Thermidorian"—oriented toward countering revolution and at consolidating the Russian state.

In line with the new vision, a new teacher's manual was created in 2007 by order of the Presidential Administration.¹⁵ Among other themes, the manual stressed



Russia's historical struggle to preserve its sovereignty against the predatory West. It also urged interpreting Stalin's repressions as a necessary evil, and portrayed the USSR's collapse as a tragic mistake that hindered Russia's progress.¹⁶ The manual was followed by publication of a controversial history textbook that explained Stalin's purges by "the requirements of modernization in a situation of scarce resources."17,18 In 2016, another set of history textbooks was published that were even more explicit in portraying Russia as rebuffing past assaults of the aggressive West-whether from 13th century Teutonic knights defeated by Russian Prince Alexander Nevsky, from German fascists, or, more recently, from "the U.S.-led united anti-Russian front aiming to punish Russia" for "defending" Ukraine.¹⁹ Interestingly, even the 1917 October Revolution is now often portrayed as being partly the product of Western interference. A 2017 series broadcast on state TV channels to mark the 100th anniversary of the Revolution emphasized that the Russian revolutionaries were backed by German financiers.²⁰

The glorification of Russia's past

The Kremlin's emphasis on the predatory West in its subversion of history coincided with its increasing whitewashing of the Soviet past. It is often forgotten that, immediately upon taking power, Putin started restoring Soviet symbols with Stalin's portraits on them.^{21,22} This was followed by the return of the Soviet anthem in late 2000 and the five-pointed star as a symbol of the Russian Army in 2002. These moves helped capitalize on Russia's post-imperial syndrome and Soviet nostalgia, but also reflected Putin's own attachment to the idea of Russian imperial power and his affinity for the idea of "useful history" that reinforced the centrality of the state.23

In 2005, Putin described the collapse of the USSR as the biggest geopolitical catastrophe of the 20th century and the biggest drama of the Russian people.²⁵ Further reflection on this topic can be found in Putin's repetitive references to the so-called "brotherly" or "fraternal" people united by culture and language in other post-Soviet countries. Such language was reminiscent of the vocabulary of "fraternal assistance" the USSR used during its military invasions.²⁶ These statements preceded the later emergence of the Kremlin doctrine of the "Russian World" (Russkiy Mir), which described ethnic Russians in neighboring countries as living in a "divided nation" after the collapse of the Soviet Union.²⁷ While *Russkiy* Mir was created as a tool to justify Russia's responsibility to "protect" **Russian-speaking communities** outside its borders, this concept was a logical development of the Kremlin's vision of Russia as the largest "divided nation."28,29

For the Kremlin, the key symbol of self-assertion against the West became the glorification of Russia's victory in World War II, which became the locus of Russian (and Soviet) history—the symbol of Russia's true historical meaning. Russian sociologist Lev Gudkov **66**The Kremlin increasingly prosecutes those threatening to blacken the distorted ımage **of Russia's** glorious

says this victory was interpreted as the triumph over not just Germany "but also over the West," and transformed "the memory of the Victory into a power demonstration and a source of Russia's moral right to dictate its will to others."30 **Russian Foreign Minister Sergey** Lavrov, for example, wrote in 2016 that "the anti-Russian aspirations of the European elites and their desire to set off Hitler's machine against the Soviet Union led to the Second World War; the catastrophe was rectified with the key participation of Russia..."31

Russia's eventual World War II victory came to justify the policies and mistakes of the Russian/Soviet leadership in conducting it. The 2016 history textbook, for example, lacks any analysis of large losses or the Red Army's retreat early in the war, which happened due to Stalin's miscalculation. It only mentions that "the war broke out suddenly for the Soviet military units located along the borders."32 Similarly, the war is portrayed as exclusively defensive; any references to the Soviet Union's own war crimes like the Ribbentrop-Molotov pact, the Katyn massacre and the occupation

of Eastern European countries that followed the victory are mostly taboo.³³

In light of its reading of Russia's history as largely unblemished, the Kremlin increasingly prosecutes those threatening to blacken the distorted image of Russia's glorious past. In 2009, following repeated suggestions by Russian politicians to criminalize the "rehabilitation of Nazism," then-President Dmitry Medvedev established a Commission of Historical Truth to combat the falsification of history.35 The commission was then replaced by a 2014 law criminalizing the rehabilitation of Nazism and any activities critical of Soviet actions from 1939 to 1945.36 Russia's courts have interpreted the rehabilitation of Nazism broadly. For example, they have prosecuted people who criticize the pact Stalin signed with Hitler to divide Eastern Europe.³⁷ Since the law's passage, about 15 people have been convicted.³⁸

Similarly, scholars and human right defenders who study these controversial historical episodes are increasingly subject to prosecution by the state. In 2016, Sergei

Mironenko, the long-time director of the Russian State Archive, was fired after the archive published formerly classified materials that cast doubt on the Soviet legend of "Panfilov's 28 Guardsmen" (the Red Army's heroic soldiers said to have died resisting a Nazi attack). Earlier in 2017, Yury Dmitriev, a Russian rights activist and historian of Stalin atrocities, was falsely accused of pedophile charges.³⁹ Dmitriev heads the northern Karelia regional branch of Memorial, a human rights group that researches Soviet repression. In 2016, Russia's Justice Ministry designated Memorial itself a "foreign agent."⁴⁰ In November 2017, a speech given before Germany's parliament by Russian high-school student Nikolai Desyatnichenko-in which he stated that many of the German soldiers killed or captured at Stalingrad were "innocent men" who "wanted to live peacefully" and "didn't want to fight" -- sparked a public outcry in Russia. Complaints filed with state prosecutors, federal police, local school officials, and

Russia's main security agency accused Desyatnichenko of making controversial statements and even trying to rehabilitate the memory of Nazi criminals.^{41,42}

The way the Kremlin uses history has several policy implications. First, the above analysis casts doubt on the widespread view that Russia justifiably viewed NATO expansion after the collapse of the USSR as provocative, and that it violated assurances given to Soviet leaders as the Cold War wound down. In reality, Putin's view that the alliance was hostile likely predated the three Baltic states' entry into the alliance, as well as the drive by former Soviet republics Georgia and Ukraine. Second, the hijacking of Russia's history is more likely the result of Kremlin concern that the color revolutions could trigger a reaction inside the country that threaten the regime itself. If that is the case, one probably should expect Russia to remain an aggressive "Thermidorian" power as long as Putin remains its ruler.

Endnotes

1. Maria Snegovaya, "What explains the sometimes obsessive anti-Americanism of Russian elites?" *Brookings Institute* (web log), February 23, 2016.

2. Vladimir Ryzhkov, review of All the Kremlin's Men by Mikhail Zygar, Intellectual Literature, 2016.

3. "The very first interview with Putin on channel ORT." Interview by Mikhail Leontiev. https://www. youtube.com/watch?v=V8qMQDJtDEM.

4. John Butterfield and Ekaterina Levintova, "How history and attitude to it are formed: school textbooks on the newest national history." *The Russian Public Opinion Herald: Levada Centre3* (103) (July & aug. 2009): 103-114.

A.F. Kiselev, "Problems Involved in Overhauling Educational Content." *Russian Studies In History 43*, no. 3 (Winter2004 2004): 50-60.

Gulnara Khasanova, "NationBuilding and Values in Russian Textbooks," in *Nation Building and Common Values in Russia*, Eds. P. Kolsto, H. Blakkisrud. Rowman and Littlefield, 2004.3-114.

5. John Butterfield and Ekaterina Levintova, "How history and attitude to it are formed: school textbooks on the newest national history." *The Russian Public Opinion Herald: Levada Centre3* (103) (July & aug. 2009): 103-114. The National Doctrine of Education in the Russian Federation for 2000-2005, the Federal Target Program "Development of a Unified Educational Information Environment for 2001-2005" and the State Program "Patriotic Education of Citizens of the Russian Federation for 2001-2005."

6. Mikhail Zygar, "Burevestnik cvetnyh revoljucij: Džordž Buš sozdaet special'nyj korpus podderžki novyh demokratij," *Kommersant*, May 20, 2005.

7. Maria Snegovaya, "The EU Provoked Putin, Not NATO," *The Huffington Post*, September 16, 2014.

8. Mikhail Zygar, "Burevestnik cvetnyh revoljucij: Džordž Buš sozdaet special'nyj korpus podderžki novyh demokratij," *Kommersant*, May 20, 2005.

9. Gudrun Persson, "Russian History – A Matter of National Security." *Swedish Defense Research Agency*, no. 19 (August 2013).

10. Maria Lipman, "Meet the Second-Rate Academic Who Is Vladimir Putin's Culture Cop." *New Republic*, May 23, 2014.

Medinsky's historical views are quite controversial. In his infamous history dissertation, Medinsky claims to defend 15-16th century Russia against the Europeans who—just like Western politicians today maliciously attempted to blacken its image and damage Russia. In his dissertation, Medinsky uses statements like "evil slander of the Russian state, its rulers and people" to describe the writings of the English diplomat Giles Fletcher. Recently, Russia's top education authorities asked to strip Medinsky of his doctorate for unscholarly work, but the Kremlin remained committed to protecting Medinsky, whose historical vision so nicely matches the Kremlin's views.

11. "Kommersant Rasskazal O Pretenzijah èkspertov VAK K Dissertacii Medinskogo." Novaya Gazeta,

12. This purpose is explicitly stated in the outlines for Gudrun Persson. "Russian History – A Matter of National Security." *Swedish Defense Research Agency*, no. 19 (August 2013).

13. Maria Snegovaya, "Russia's Newest Weapon Is Blind Patriotism." *National Interest*, July 7, 2016.

14. "V Učebnikah Istorii Ne Budut Voshvaljat' Stalina - Tol'ko Putina." *NewsRu.com*, December 25, 2007.

15. "The Rewriting of History." The Economist, November 8, 2007.

16. "The Rewriting of History." *The Economist*, November 8, 2007.

17. Julia Chernikova, "The ,Philippov Textbook': The Story Continues." Urokiistorii, December 9, 2011.

18. Vladimir Kara-Murza, "The Approved Past: How History Will be Taught to Russia's Children." *Institute of Modern Russia*, November 7th, 2013.

19. lgor Pushkarev, "Škol'nikam Rasskažut Pro «uplyvšij Krym» I «antirossijskij Front»." *ZNAK*, August 25, 2016.

20. Joshua Yaffa, "Putin's Russia Wrestles with the Meaning of Trotsky and Revolution." *The New Yorker*, November 7, 2017.

21. Shortly after being appointed acting president of Russia in December 1999, Putin reinstalled the Andropov memorial plaque (removed during the 1991 democratic revolution) at Lubianka

Vladimir Socor, "Putin Inflates "Russian World" Identity, Claims Protection Rights." *Eurasia Daily Monitor*, July 2, 2014.

Julie Fedor, Russia and the Cult of State Security: The Chekist Tradition, from Lenin to Putin. Routledge, 2013.

22. Two days after his first inauguration on May 7, 2000, a World War II memorial plaque featuring 18 war heroes beginning with Stalin was established on one of the Kremlin buildings. Later the same year, the state issued a series of jubilee medals with Stalin's portrait on them. On Victory Day in 2000, Putin started his congratulatory speech with the words "brothers and sisters" to commemorate Stalin's radio address to the Soviet people after the beginning of the war. For more: http://prehodbg.com/sites/default/ files/B-Dibin_Stalin-i-drugie_0.pdf

23. Lilia Shevtsova, and Antonina W. Bouis. "Putin's Russia." Washington, DC: *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace*, 2005.

24. Carlos Lozada, "Trump's New Russia Expert Wrote a Psychological Profile of Putin — and It Should Scare Trump." *The Denver Post*. April 06, 2017.

25. "Vladamir Putin: Raspad CCCP." REGNUM. April 25, 2005. Accessed November 15, 2017.

26. Vadim Nikitin, "Putin Is Exploiting the Legacy of the Soviet Union to Further Russia's Ends in Ukraine." *The Independent*. March 05, 2014.

27. Vitalij Aver'janov, "Doktrina Russkogo Mira." September 26, 2016. https://izborsk-club.ru/10269.

28. Vladimir Socor, "Putin Inflates "Russian World" Identity, Claims Protection Rights." July 02, 2014. https://jamestown.org/program/putin-inflates-russian-world-identity-claims-protection-rights/.

29. Mikhail Zygar, "Psihologija Vlasti Krymskaja Ispoved' Prezidenta Putina." March 21, 2014. https://www.vedomosti.ru/newspaper/articles/2014/03/21/krymskaya-ispoved-prezidenta-putina.

30. Justine Prus.

31. Serge Lavrov, "Istoričeskaja Perspektiva Vnešnej Politiki Rossii." *Global Affairs*. March 3, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2017. http://www.globalaffairs.ru/global-processes/Istoricheskaya-perspektiva-vneshnei-politiki-Rossii-18017.

32. Igor Puškarev, "Škol'nikam Rasskažut Pro uplyvšij Krym" I "antirossijskij Front." *ZNAK*. August 25, 2016. https://www.znak.com/2016-08-25/chem_novye_uchebniki_istorii_otlichayutsya_ot_staryh_po_punktam.

33. A series of mass executions of Polish nationals carried out by the NKVD.

34. Ivan, Kurilla, "The Implications of Russia's Law against the "Rehabilitation of Nazism"." *PONARS Eurasia Policy Memo, no. 331.*

35. Andrew Foxall, "In Putin's Russia, History Is Subversive." The American Interest. June 6, 2016.

Zakon Ob Ugolovnoj Otvetstvennosti Za Opravdanie Nacizma Dos'e." *Tass.ru*. April 28, 2014. http://tass. ru/info/1152908.

36. Xenia Polska, "Pervyj v RF Osuždennyj 'za Reabilitaciju Nacizma' Podal žalobu v ESPČ." March 3, 2017. goo.gl/MChWCM.

37. "The first convict in the Russian Federation "for the rehabilitation of Nazism" filed a complaint with the ECHR." *Deutsche Welle*, March 3, 2017.

38. A Gaganov, "Otvetstvennost' Za Dejstvija, Oskorbljajuŝie Pamjat' O Velikoj Otečestvennoj Vojne." July 21, 2017.

Elena Šmaraeva, "Otricanie Otricatelej. Kak v Rossii I Evrope Nakazyvajut Za Reabilitaciju Nacizma." *Deutsche Welle*. April 25, 2017. https://zona.media/article/2017/04/25/denial.

39. "Russian Rights Activist, Historian of Stalin Atrocities Faces 'fabricated' Pedophile Charges." *Japan Times*. February 1, 2017.

40. "Russia Includes Branch Of Memorial In Foreign Agents List." October 04, 2016. https://www.rferl. org/a/russia-memorial-foreign-agent-ngos/28031275.html.

41. "Backlash follows a pacifist speech by a Russian high schooler at the Bundestag." *Meduza*. November 20, 2017.

42. "Russian Teenager's Peaceful Speech In Germany Kicks Up Angry Reaction Back Home." *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*. November 20, 2017.



Cover photo credit: Benjamín Núñez González Page three photo credit: Pexels Page four photo credit: Kremlin.ru Page five photo credit: Kremlin.ru

All opinions are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the position or views of the institutions they represent or the Center for European Policy Analysis.

About CEPA

The Center for European Policy Analysis (CEPA) is the only U.S. think-tank dedicated to the study of Central and Eastern Europe. With offices in Washington and Warsaw, it has grown rapidly over the last decade to become the leading voice for strengthening security and democracy in the countries of post-Communist Europe. CEPA is at the forefront of the transatlantic policy debate on issues of defense, energy and democratic reform in Central and Eastern Europe. Its mission is to promote an economically vibrant, geopolitically stable and politically free Central and Eastern European region with close and enduring ties to the United States.

© 2018 by the Center for European Policy Analysis, Washington, DC. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without permission in writing from the Center for European Policy Analysis, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in news articles, critical articles or reviews.

Center for European Policy Analysis 1225 19th Street NW, Suite 450 Washington, DC 20036 E-mail: info@cepa.org www.cepa.org

